

UNITED STATES DEPA. ENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ه مهير ا يرد

-...

		San de
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	ANDERSON	E P1969/JAS
		EXAMINER
JOSEPH A SAWYER JR	LMC1/0407	HARRINGTON, A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
SAWYER & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 51418 PALO ALTO CA 94304		DATE MAILED:
		04/07/00

v is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the daim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in botter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: NOTE: Very proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims response has overcome the following rejection(s): The attickavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because		ADVISORY ACTION
axpires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension tee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.174 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension tee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.192(a). Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	THE	
event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the linial rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Appellant's response to the final rejection, filed	Ø	is extended to run or continues to run Smonths from the date of the final rejection
The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also date for vine purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Appellant's response to the final rejection, filed		expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed		The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the case for the
to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:] Ар	
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in botter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Proposed amendment Proposed ame	Ap	
presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	. 🗆	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in botter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:		
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:		b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit er request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Proposed and the status of the claims will be affidavit, exhibit er request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Proposed and the status of the claims will be affidavit, exhibit er request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Proposed and the status of the claims will be affidavit, exhibit er request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Proposed and the status of the claims will be affidavit, exhibit er request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Proposed and the status of the claims will be affidavit, exhibit er request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Proposed and the status of the claims will be affidavit, exhibit er reconsidered but does not overcome the rejection because Proposed and the status of the claims will be affidavit.		c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
Note:		
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:		
the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit is a finite for the a		NOTE:
the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:		
the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:		
Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit er request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because	: 	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims
be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit er request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because		
Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The afficiavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because	3. 🔲	
Claims rejected:		Claims allowed:
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because		Claims objected to:
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit as request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because		Claims rejected:
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because		
Sec attached		Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
With ASEC Attached		(1) to the sequent to receipt for the period bas been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
	<u>.</u>	The amount, extraction of the characters of the
	٠.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
		presented
	٦.	a smoothed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.

Other

Application/Control Number: 08/702,286 Page 2

Art Unit: 2712

DETAILED ACTION

The declaration filed on 3/27/00 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Itoh (US 5,966,122) reference.

The declaration must establish possession of the **whole** invention, not just a part of it, see MPEP section 715.02. Therefore, affidavit or declaration showing must establish possession of the invention (the basic inventive concept) and not just of what one reference happens to show, if the reference does not itself teach the basic inventive concept.

Secondly, the declaration must include more than merely conclusions. Any conclusion must be supported by facts. In the present declaration, Mr. Anderson states the conclusion that he had conceived the "mark function" idea prior to 8/9/96. As supporting evidence, a letter from Mr. Anderson's attorney is supplied, documenting a "patent application is being submitted for review of 8/8/96. However, the subject matter of this application at that time, can not be ascertained. Without this, factual support for Mr. Anderson's conclusion is not substantiated.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Harrington whose telephone number is (703) 308-9295. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiners supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

Art Unit: 2712

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6296 (for informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

AMH: AMH

April 4, 2000

Wendy Garber
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2700